

To: Fonseca, Silvina[Fonseca.Silvina@epa.gov]
From: Christopher, Anne
Sent: Fri 3/11/2016 1:32:10 AM
Subject: RE: 3-7-16 Draft PH Proposed Plan sf comments.docx
3-10-16 Draft PH Proposed Plan.docx

Ok, I added more info to the technology types in the Summary of Remedial Actions (got to page 27). It might be too much info, but it is easier to take it out. I am still deciding if the SMA description should get moved up. Also want your thoughts on the disposal option box and how to emphasize that we want CDF comments like Passaic did.

If you have time tomorrow morning to read what I added before we talk tomorrow that would be great. If not, we can go through it together and we can add your Tribal Treaty language in.

From: Fonseca, Silvina
Sent: Thursday, March 10, 2016 11:15 AM
To: Christopher, Anne <Christopher.Anne@epa.gov>
Subject: RE: 3-7-16 Draft PH Proposed Plan sf comments.docx

Ok. I am working on the other Tribal Treaty language with KK for the FS Section 2. But we will need to expand upon the Tribal component in the PP. So I will take a look at your version tonight and see what I can start beefing up on the Tribal language.

Also, I am ok with the 3 RPMs to review the PP. Do they know the time frames?

Silvina Fonseca, Environmental Engineer
Office of Superfund Remediation and Technology Innovation

Phone #: 703-603-8799
Fax #: 703-603-9104

From: Christopher, Anne
Sent: Thursday, March 10, 2016 1:49 PM
To: Fonseca, Silvina <Fonseca.Silvina@epa.gov>
Subject: RE: 3-7-16 Draft PH Proposed Plan sf comments.docx

Thanks!

I decided to start cleaning up what we have edited so far so that it will be easier for Kristine and Elizabeth to read before we send it out to the broader group for review on 3/21. I will continue working on the Summary of Remedial Alternatives today and send you what I finish by the end of the day so we can go through it tomorrow. I think our goal should be to finish through that section tomorrow.

We will have to tackle the comparative analysis and the preferred alternative sections next week. We'll see what progress Kristine has made and can put in place holders if needed.

Also FYI, Davis said that the other RPMs that Cami chose to review our PP are Kevin Rochlin, Chris Cora, and Anne McCauley.

From: Fonseca, Silvina
Sent: Thursday, March 10, 2016 7:51 AM
To: Christopher, Anne <Christopher.Ann@epa.gov>
Subject: 3-7-16 Draft PH Proposed Plan sf comments.docx

Hi Anne,

I made some more edits and got through some more of the document. In the alternative section (common elements). Slowly but surely.